

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,521	ROBERT, PHILIPPE	
	Examiner CHRIS C. CHU	Art Unit 2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRIS C. CHU (USPTO) (3) Patrick T. Muffo (applicant's representative).
 (2) Michael Gagliano (applicant's representative) (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reichenbach et al. and Mastromatteo et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant further explained the invention and presented proposed amendments further defining elements of the claimed invention. These proposed amendments as well as any other amendments and arguments will be fully considered when filed in response to the final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chris C. Chu/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815